

#### Dr. George Karraz, Ph. D.

#### Computer Vision Lecture IV: Edge & Structure Extraction

Dr. George Karraz, Ph.D.

### **Course Outline**

- Image Processing Basics
  - Image Formation
  - Binary Image Processing
  - Linear Filters
  - > Edge & Structure Extraction
  - > Color
- Segmentation
- Local Features & Matching
- Object Recognition and Categorization
- 3D Reconstruction
- Motion and Tracking

#### **Recap: Gaussian Smoothing**



- Rotationally symmetric
- Weights nearby pixels more than distant ones
  - This makes sense as 'probabilistic' inference about the signal
- A Gaussian gives a good model of a fuzzy blob





# Smoothing with a Gaussian

Parameter  $\sigma$  is the "scale" / "width" / "spread" of the Gaussian kernel, and controls the amount of smoothing.



```
for sigma=1:3:10
    h = fspecial('gaussian', fsize, sigma);
    out = imfilter(im, h);
    imshow(out);
    pause;
end
```

#### **Recap: Derivatives and Edges...**



#### **Recap: 2D Edge Detection Filters**



•  $\nabla^2$  is the Laplacian operator:

$$\nabla^2 f = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}$$

## **Topics of This Lecture**

#### • Edge detection

- Recap: Gradients, scale influence
- Canny edge detector
- Fitting as template matching
  - > Distance transform
  - > Chamfer matching
  - > Application: traffic sign detection
- Fitting as parametric search
  - Line detection
  - Hough transform
  - Extension to circles
  - Generalized Hough transform





#### **Edge Detection**

- Goal: map image from 2D array of pixels to a set of curves or line segments or contours.
- Why?



• Main idea: look for strong gradients, post-process

#### What Can Cause an Edge?

Reflectance change: appearance information, texture

Change in surface orientation: shape



Depth discontinuity: Object boundary

**Cast shadows** 

#### **Contrast and Invariance**



#### **Recall: Images as Functions**





#### Edges look like steep cliffs



#### **Gradients** $\rightarrow$ **Edges**



Primary edge detection steps

- 1. Smoothing: suppress noise
- 2. Edge enhancement: filter for contrast
- **3.** Edge localization
  - Determine which local maxima from filter output are actually edges vs. noise
  - Thresholding, thinning

#### Effect of $\sigma$ on Derivatives



 $\sigma = 1$  pixel

 $\sigma$  = 3 pixels

The apparent structures differ depending on Gaussian's scale parameter.

⇒ Larger values: larger scale edges detected
⇒ Smaller values: finer features detected

### So, What Scale to Choose?

It depends on what we're looking for...





- Too fine a scale... can't see the forest for the trees.
- Too coarse a scale... can't tell the maple from the cherry.

### **Recall: Thresholding**

- Choose a threshold t
- Set any pixels less than t to zero (off).
- Set any pixels greater than or equal *t* to one (on).



$$F_{T}[i, j] = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } F[i, j] \ge t \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

#### Original Image



#### **Gradient Magnitude Image**



#### Thresholding with a lower threshold



#### **Thresholding with a Higher Threshold**



#### **Designing an Edge Detector**

- Criteria for an "optimal" edge detector:
  - Good detection: the optimal detector must minimize the probability of false positives (detecting spurious edges caused by noise), as well as that of false negatives (missing real edges)
  - Good localization: the edges detected must be as close as possible to the true edges
  - Single response: the detector must return one point only for each true edge point; that is, minimize the number of local maxima around the true edge



- This is probably the most widely used edge detector in computer vision
- Theoretical model: step-edges corrupted by additive Gaussian noise
- Canny has shown that the first derivative of the Gaussian closely approximates the operator that optimizes the product of *signal-to-noise ratio* and localization

- Filter image with derivative of Gaussian
- Find magnitude and orientation of gradient
- Non-maximum suppression:
  - > Thin multi-pixel wide "ridges" down to single pixel width
- Linking and thresholding (hysteresis):
  - > Define two thresholds: low and high
  - Use the high threshold to start edge curves and the low threshold to continue them
- MATLAB:
  - >> edge(image, `canny');
  - >> help edge



original image (Lena)



Norm of the gradient



Thresholding



How to turn these thick regions of the gradient into curves?





#### **Non-Maximum Suppression**



- Check if pixel is local maximum along gradient direction, select single max across width of the edge
  - requires checking interpolated pixels p and r



Problem: pixels along this edge didn't survive the thresholding

# Thinning (non-maximum suppression)

#### **Hysteresis Thresholding**

- Hysteresis: A lag or momentum factor
- Idea: Maintain two thresholds k<sub>high</sub> and k<sub>low</sub>
  - Use k<sub>high</sub> to find strong edges to start edge chain
  - Use k<sub>low</sub> to find weak edges which continue edge chain
- Typical ratio of thresholds is roughly

$$k_{high} / k_{low} = 2$$



#### **Hysteresis Thresholding**



#### Original image



High threshold (strong edges)



Low threshold (weak edges)



courtesy of G. Loy

Hysteresis threshold

#### **Object Boundaries vs. Edges**







Background



Texture





Shadows

#### Edge Detection is Just the Beginning...

Image

#### Human segmentation

Gradient magnitude



#### Fitting

• Want to associate a model with observed features





For example, the model could be a line, a circle, or an arbitrary shape.

# **Topics of This Lecture**

- Edge detection
  - Recap: Gradients, scale influence
  - Canny edge detector

#### • Fitting as template matching

- > Distance transform
- Chamfer matching
- Application: traffic sign detection
- Fitting as parametric search
  - Line detection
  - Hough transform
  - Extension to circles
  - Generalized Hough transform



### Fitting as Template Matching

 We've already seen that correlation filtering can be used for template matching in an image.

- Let's try this idea with "edge templates".
  - Example: traffic sign detection in (grayvalue) video.




# How Can This Be Made Efficient?

- Fast edge-based template matching
  - Distance transform of the edge image



Original



Gradient





Distance transform

Value at (x,y) tells how far that position is from the nearest edge point (or other binary mage structure)

>> help bwdist

## **Distance Transform**

• Image reflecting distance to nearest point in point set (e.g., edge pixels, or foreground pixels).



4-connected adjacency 8-connected adjacency

# Distance Transform Algorithm (1D)

- Two-pass O(n) algorithm for 1D L<sub>1</sub> norm
- 1. Initialize: For all j
  - ▷ D[j] ← 1<sub>P</sub>[j]
    // 0 if j is in P, infinity otherwise
- 3. <u>Backward:</u> For j from n-2 down to 0
  - >  $D[j] \leftarrow min(D[j], D[j+1]+1)$



8	0	8	0	$\infty$	8	8	0	8
8	0	1	0	1	2	3	0	1
1	0	1	0	1	2	1	0	1



# **Distance Transform Algorithm (2D)**

- 2D case analogous to 1D
  - Initialization
  - Forward and backward pass
    - Fwd pass finds closest above and to the left
    - Bwd pass finds closest below and to the right



	_	_	_
8	8	8	8
8	0	8	8
8	0	8	8
8	8	8	8

×	8	8	$\infty$
8	0	1	8
8	0	8	8
8	8	8	8

8	8	8	8
8	0	1	2
8	0	1	2
8	1	2	3

2	1	2	3
1	0	1	2
1	0	1	2
2	1	2	3

# **Chamfer Matching**

- Chamfer Distance
  - > Average distance to nearest feature

$$D_{chamfer}(T,I) \equiv \frac{1}{|T|} \sum_{t \in T} d_I(t)$$

This can be computed efficiently by correlating the edge template with the distance-transformed image



Edge image

Distance transform image

# **Chamfer Matching**

- Efficient implementation
  - Instead of correlation, sample fixed number of points on template contour.
  - $\Rightarrow$  Chamfer score boils down to series of DT lookups.

$$D_{chamfer}(T,I) \equiv \frac{1}{|T|} \sum_{t \in T} d_I(t)$$

 $\Rightarrow$  Computational effort independent of scale.





Edge image

Distance transform image





#### **Chamfer Matching Results**









Edge image

Distance transform image

## **Chamfer Matching for Pedestrian Detection**

• Organize templates in tree structure for fast matching



# **Summary Chamfer Matching**

• <u>Pros</u>

- Fast and simple method for matching edge-based templates.
- Works well for matching upright shapes with little intra-class variation.
- Good method for finding candidate matches in a longer recognition pipeline.

#### • <u>Cons</u>

- Chamfer score averages over entire contour, not very discriminative in practice.
  - $\Rightarrow$  Further verification needed.
- ▶ Low matching cost in cluttered regions with many edges.
   ⇒ Many false positive detections.
- > In order to detect rotated & rescaled shapes, need to match with rotated & rescaled templates  $\Rightarrow$  can get very expensive.

# **Topics of This Lecture**

- Edge detection
  - Recap: Gradients, scale influence
  - Canny edge detector
- Fitting as template matching
  - > Distance transform
  - Chamfer matching
  - > Application: traffic sign detection

#### • Fitting as parametric search

- Line detection
- Hough transform
- Extension to circles
- Generalized Hough transform





# Fitting as Search in Parametric Space

- Choose a parametric model to represent a set of features
- Membership criterion is not local
  - Can't tell whether a point belongs to a given model just by looking at that point.
- Three main questions:
  - What model represents this set of features best?
  - Which of several model instances gets which feature?
  - How many model instances are there?
- Computational complexity is important
  - It is infeasible to examine every possible set of parameters and every possible combination of features

# **Example: Line Fitting**

• Why fit lines? Many objects characterized by presence of straight lines



• Wait, why aren't we done just by running edge detection?

# **Difficulty of Line Fitting**



- Extra edge points (clutter), multiple models:
  - Which points go with which line, if any?
- Only some parts of each line detected, and some parts are missing:
  - How to find a line that bridges missing evidence?
  - Noise in measured edge points, orientations:
    - How to detect true underlying parameters?

# Voting

- It's not feasible to check all combinations of features by fitting a model to each possible subset.
- Voting is a general technique where we let the features vote for all models that are compatible with it.
  - > Cycle through features, cast votes for model parameters.
  - Look for model parameters that receive a lot of votes.
- Noise & clutter features will cast votes too, but typically their votes should be inconsistent with the majority of "good" features.
- Ok if some features not observed, as model can span multiple fragments.

# **Fitting Lines**

- Given points that belong to a line, what is the line?
- How many lines are there?
- Which points belong to which lines?
- Hough Transform is a voting technique that can be used to answer all of these
- Main idea:
  - 1. Record all possible lines on which each edge point lies.
  - 2. Look for lines that get many votes.









- Connection between image (x,y) and Hough (m,b) spaces
  - A line in the image corresponds to a point in Hough space.
  - > To go from image space to Hough space:
    - Given a set of points (x,y), find all (m,b) such that y = mx + b



- Connection between image (x,y) and Hough (m,b) spaces
  - A line in the image corresponds to a point in Hough space.
  - > To go from image space to Hough space:
    - Given a set of points (x,y), find all (m,b) such that y = mx + b
  - > What does a point  $(x_0, y_0)$  in the image space map to?
    - Answer: the solutions of  $b = -x_0m + y_0$
    - This is a line in Hough space



- What are the line parameters for the line that contains both (x<sub>0</sub>, y<sub>0</sub>) and (x<sub>1</sub>, y<sub>1</sub>)?
  - > It is the intersection of the lines  $b = -x_0m + y_0$  and  $b = -x_1m + y_1$



- How can we use this to find the most likely parameters (*m*,*b*) for the most prominent line in the image space?
  - Let each edge point in image space vote for a set of possible parameters in Hough space
  - Accumulate votes in discrete set of bins; parameters with the most votes indicate line in image space.

## **Polar Representation for Lines**

• Issues with usual (*m*,*b*) parameter space: can take on infinite values, undefined for vertical lines.



d : perpendicular distance from line to origin

 $\theta$  : angle the perpendicular makes with the x-axis

 $x\cos\theta - y\sin\theta = d$ 

• Point in image space  $\Rightarrow$  sinusoid segment in Hough space

# Hough Transform Algorithm

Using the polar parameterization:  $x\cos\theta - y\sin\theta = d$ 

#### **Basic Hough transform algorithm**

- 1. Initialize  $H[d, \theta] = 0$ .
- 2. For each edge point (x,y) in the image for  $\theta = 0$  to 180 // some quantization  $d = x \cos \theta - y \sin \theta$ H[d,  $\theta$ ] += 1

H: accumulator array (votes)



- 3. Find the value(s) of  $(d, \theta)$  where  $H[d, \theta]$  is maximum.
- 4. The detected line in the image is given by  $d = x \cos \theta y \sin \theta$

Hough line demo

Time complexity (in terms of number of votes)?

#### **Example: HT for Straight Lines**



Black = no votes

### **Example: HT for Straight Lines**

#### Square:



### **Example: HT for Straight Lines**





#### **Real-World Examples**















Showing longest segments found

#### Impact of Noise on Hough Transform



Image space edge coordinates Votes

What difficulty does this present for an implementation?

### Impact of Noise on Hough Transform



Here, everything appears to be "noise", or random edge points, but we still see peaks in the vote space.

#### **Extensions**

Extension 1: Use the image gradient

- 1. same
- 2. for each edge point I[x,y] in the image

 $\theta$  = gradient at (x,y)  $d = x \cos \theta - y \sin \theta$ 

 $H[d, \theta] += 1$ 

- 3. same
- 4. same

(Reduces degrees of freedom)



$$\theta = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} / \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right)$$

#### **Extensions**

Extension 1: Use the image gradient

- 1. same
- 2. for each edge point I[x,y] in the image

compute unique  $(d, \theta)$  based on image gradient at (x, y)H[ $d, \theta$ ] += 1

- 3. same
- 4. same

(Reduces degrees of freedom)

Extension 2

Give more votes for stronger edges (use magnitude of gradient)
 Extension 3

> Change the sampling of  $(d, \theta)$  to give more/less resolution

Extension 4

> The same procedure can be used with circles, squares, or any other shape...

### **Extension: Cascaded Hough Transform**

- Let's go back to the original (m,b) parametrization
- A line in the image maps to a pencil of lines in the Hough space
- What do we get with parallel lines or a pencil of lines?
  - Collinear peaks in the Hough space!
- So we can apply a Hough transform to the output of the first Hough transform to find vanishing points

#### **Finding Vanishing Points**



## **Cascaded Hough Transform**

• Issue: Dealing with the unbounded parameter space



#### **Cascaded Hough Transform**





## **Hough Transform for Circles**

• Circle: center (*a*,*b*) and radius *r* 

$$(x_i - a)^2 + (y_i - b)^2 = r^2$$

• For a fixed radius r, unknown gradient direction



## Hough Transform for Circles

• Circle: center (*a*,*b*) and radius *r* 

$$(x_i - a)^2 + (y_i - b)^2 = r^2$$

• For a fixed radius r, unknown gradient direction


• Circle: center (*a*,*b*) and radius *r* 

$$(x_i - a)^2 + (y_i - b)^2 = r^2$$

• For an unknown radius r, unknown gradient direction



• Circle: center (*a*,*b*) and radius *r* 

$$(x_i - a)^2 + (y_i - b)^2 = r^2$$

• For an unknown radius r, unknown gradient direction



• Circle: center (*a*,*b*) and radius *r* 

$$(x_i - a)^2 + (y_i - b)^2 = r^2$$

• For an unknown radius r, known gradient direction



For every edge pixel (x,y):

For each possible radius value *r*:

For each possible gradient direction  $\theta$ : // or use estimated gradient

 $a = x - r \cos(\theta)$   $b = y + r \sin(\theta)$  H[a,b,r] += 1end end

#### **Example: Detecting Circles with Hough**



Crosshair indicates results of Hough transform, bounding box found via motion differencing.

# **Example: Detecting Circles with Hough**

Original



Note: a different Hough transform (with separate accumulators) was used for each circle radius (quarters vs. penny).

# **Example: Detecting Circles with Hough**

Original



**Combined detections** 

# **Voting: Practical Tips**

- Minimize irrelevant tokens first (take edge points with significant gradient magnitude)
- Choose a good grid / discretization
  - > Too coarse: large votes obtained when too many different lines correspond to a single bucket
  - Too fine: miss lines because some points that are not exactly collinear cast votes for different buckets
- Vote for neighbors, also (smoothing in accumulator array)
- Utilize direction of edge to reduce free parameters by 1
- To read back which points voted for "winning" peaks, keep tags on the votes.

# Hough Transform: Pros and Cons

#### <u>Pros</u>

- All points are processed independently, so can cope with occlusion
- Some robustness to noise: noise points unlikely to contribute consistently to any single bin
- Can detect multiple instances of a model in a single pass

#### <u>Cons</u>

- Complexity of search time increases exponentially with the number of model parameters
- Non-target shapes can produce spurious peaks in parameter space
- Quantization: hard to pick a good grid size

## **Generalized Hough Transform**

• What if want to detect arbitrary shapes defined by boundary points and a reference point?



At each boundary point, compute displacement vector:  $r = a - p_i$ .

For a given model shape: store these vectors in a table indexed by gradient orientation  $\theta$ .

[Dana H. Ballard, Generalizing the Hough Transform to Detect Arbitrary Shapes, 1980]

## **Generalized Hough Transform**

To *detect* the model shape in a new image:

- For each edge point
  - > Index into table with its gradient orientation heta
  - > Use retrieved *r* vectors to vote for position of reference point
- Peak in this Hough space is reference point with most supporting edges

Assuming translation is the only transformation here, i.e., orientation and scale are fixed.





Displacement vectors for model points



Range of voting locations for test point



Range of voting locations for test point





#### Displacement vectors for model points



Range of voting locations for test point



# **Application in Recognition**

• Instead of indexing displacements by gradient orientation, index by "visual codeword".



Training image



Visual codeword with displacement vectors

# **Application in Recognition**

• Instead of indexing displacements by gradient orientation, index by "visual codeword".



Test image

• We'll hear more about this method in lecture 14...