




Dr. George Karraz, Ph. D.

Classifiers for Recognition

- Examine each window of an image
- Classify object class within each window based on a *training set* images




Example: A Classification Problem

- Categorize images of fish—say, “Atlantic salmon” vs. “Pacific salmon”
- Use features such as length, width, lightness, fin shape & number, mouth position, etc.
- Steps
 1. Preprocessing (e.g., background subtraction)
 2. Feature extraction
 3. Classification



Bayes Risk


Some errors may be inevitable: the minimum risk (shaded area) is called the Bayes risk



Probability density functions (area under each curve sums to 1)

Discriminative vs Generative Models

Finding a decision boundary is not the same as modeling a conditional density.



Loss functions in classifiers


- Loss
 - some errors may be more expensive than others
 - e.g. a fatal disease that is easily cured by a cheap medicine with no side-effects -> false positives in diagnosis are better than false negatives
 - We discuss two class classification: $L(1 \rightarrow 2)$ is the loss caused by calling 1 a 2
- Total risk of using classifier s

$$R(s) = Pr \{1 \rightarrow 2 | \text{using } s\} L(1 \rightarrow 2) + Pr \{2 \rightarrow 1 | \text{using } s\} L(2 \rightarrow 1)$$

Histogram based classifiers


- Use a histogram to represent the class-conditional densities
 - (i.e. $p(x|1)$, $p(x|2)$, etc)
- Advantage: Estimates converge towards correct values with enough data
- Disadvantage: Histogram becomes big with high dimension so requires too much data
 - but maybe we can assume feature independence?

Example Histograms




Kernel Density Estimation

- **Parzen windows:** Approximate probability density by estimating local density of points (same idea as a histogram)
 - Convolve points with window/kernel function (e.g., Gaussian) using scale parameter (e.g., sigma)




Density Estimation at Different Scales


- Example: Density estimates for 5 data points with differently-scaled kernels
- Scale influences accuracy vs. generality (overfitting)



Example: Kernel Density Estimation Decision Boundaries



Smaller




Larger


Application: Skin Colour Histograms

- Skin has a very small range of (intensity independent) colours, and little texture
 - Compute colour measure, check if colour is in this range, check if there is little texture (median filter)
 - Get class conditional densities (histograms), priors from data (counting)
- Classifier is
 - if $p(\text{skin}|\mathbf{x}) > \theta$, classify as skin
 - if $p(\text{skin}|\mathbf{x}) < \theta$, classify as not skin

Skin Colour Models



Skin chrominance points



Smoothed, $[0,1]$ -normalized

courtesy
of G. Loy

Skin Colour Classification

For every pixel \mathbf{p}_i in \mathbf{I}_{test}

- Determine the chrominance values (a_p, b_i) of $\mathbf{I}_{\text{test}}(\mathbf{p}_i)$
- Lookup the skin likelihood for (a_p, b_i) using the skin chrominance model.
- Assign this likelihood to $\mathbf{I}_{\text{skin}}(\mathbf{p}_i)$.



\mathbf{I}_{test}



\mathbf{I}_{skin}

courtesy
of G. Loy

Results




Figure from “Statistical color models with application to skin detection,” M.J. Jones and J. Rehg, Proc. Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 1999 copyright 1999, IEEE

ROC curves on test set showing effect of increased bin size

ROC Curves

(Receiver operating characteristics)

Plots trade-off between false positives and false negatives for different values of a threshold





Figure from “Statistical color models with application to skin detection,” M.J. Jones and J. Rehg, Proc. Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 1999 copyright 1999, IEEE

Nearest Neighbor Classifier


- Assign label of nearest training data point to each test data point




Voronoi partitioning of feature space
for 2-category 2-D and 3-D data

K-Nearest Neighbors

- For a new point, find the k closest points from training data
- Labels of the k points “vote” to classify
- Avoids fixed scale choice—uses data itself (can be very important in practice)
- Simple method that works well if the distance measure correctly weights the various dimensions



$k = 5$




from
Duda et al.

Example density estimate


Neural networks

- Compose layered classifiers
 - Use a weighted sum of elements at the previous layer to compute results at next layer
 - Apply a smooth threshold function from each layer to the next (introduces non-linearity)
 - Initialize the network with small random weights
 - Learn all the weights by performing gradient descent (i.e., perform small adjustments to improve results)




Training

- Adjust parameters to minimize error on training set
- Perform gradient descent, making small changes in the direction of the derivative of error with respect to each parameter
- Stop when error is low, and hasn't changed much
- Network itself is designed by hand to suit the problem, so only the weights are learned



The vertical face-finding part of Rowley, Baluja and Kanade's system

Figure from "Rotation invariant neural-network based face detection," H.A. Rowley, S. Baluja and T. Kanade, Proc. Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 1998, copyright 1998, IEEE



Architecture of the complete system: they use another neural net to estimate orientation of the face, then rectify it. They search over scales to find bigger/smaller faces.


Figure from “Rotation invariant neural-network based face detection,” H.A. Rowley, S. Baluja and T. Kanade, Proc. Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 1998, copyright 1998, IEEE

Face Finder: Training

- Positive examples:
 - Preprocess $\sim 1,000$ example face images into 20×20 inputs
 - Generate 15 “clones” of each with small random rotations, scalings, translations, reflections
- Negative examples
 - Test net on 120 known “no-face” images



from Rowley *et al.*



from Rowley
et al.

Face Finder: Results

- 79.6% of true faces detected with few false positives over complex test set



135 true faces
125 detected
12 false positives

Face Finder Results: Examples of Misses



from Rowley *et al.*


Find the face!



- The human visual system needs to apply serial attention to detect faces (context often helps to predict where to look)

Convolutional neural networks

- Template matching using NN classifiers seems to work
- Low-level features are linear filters
 - why not learn the filter kernels, too?



A convolutional neural network, LeNet; the layers filter, subsample, filter, subsample, and finally classify based on outputs of this process.

Figure from “Gradient-Based Learning Applied to Document Recognition”, Y. Lecun et al Proc. IEEE, 1998 copyright 1998, IEEE



Fig. 4. Size-normalized examples from the MNIST database.




Fig. 5. Training and test error of LeNet-5 as a function of the number of passes through the 60 000 pattern training set (without distortions). The average training error is measured on-the-fly as training proceeds. This explains why the training error appears to be larger than the test error initially. Convergence is attained after 10–12 passes through the training set.


LeNet is used to classify handwritten digits. Notice that the test error rate is not the same as the training error rate, because the learning “overfits” to the training data.


Figure from “Gradient-Based Learning Applied to Document Recognition”, Y. Lecun et al Proc. IEEE, 1998 copyright 1998, IEEE

Support Vector Machines




- Try to obtain the decision boundary directly
 - potentially easier, because we need to encode only the geometry of the boundary, not any irrelevant wiggles in the posterior.
 - Not all points affect the decision boundary

Support Vectors





Pedestrian Detection with SVMs







Figure from, "A general framework for object detection," by C. Papageorgiou, M. Oren and T. Poggio, Proc. Int. Conf. Computer Vision, 1998, copyright 1998, IEEE



(b) People Detection System

Figure from, "A general framework for object detection," by C. Papageorgiou, M. Oren and T. Poggio, Proc. Int. Conf. Computer Vision, 1998, copyright 1998, IEEE